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Abstract

In the summer of 2011, Cardiff Osteoarchaeology Research Group was invited to present a number of archaeological engagement activities at the Green Man music festival as part of the Einstein’s Garden science learning area. The project, called Back to the Future?: Animals and archaeology in Einstein’s Garden comprised a number of activities, designed to cater for a wide range of ages as the festival audience typically includes young people and families. Over four days more than 2000 people visited the stall. This paper will briefly outline the activities presented, and will reflect on the challenges posed by outreach at a music festival, in particular how to hook the main festival demographic, and how to evaluate success.
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Introduction

Heritage public engagement often takes the form of living history events and re-enactment with costumed interpreters offering an immersive experience (e.g. Corbishley 2011, 29-37), or museum education programmes, although archaeologists themselves tend to shy away from participating in this kind of event. Back to the Future? used archaeologists with a scientific background and those with more
theoretical research interests as well as artists to create unexpected and provocative engagement in the context of a music festival.

This creative public engagement provides an opportunity to demonstrate how archaeology is relevant to modern lives, and how archaeological thought can bring clarity to modern issues. Much of our research work has been concerned with the past relationships between people and animals - as food, as sources of raw materials, and as visible components of the human environment. Exploring the ways in which people used and interacted with animals in the past provides a medium to discuss the ethics and sustainability of modern-day resource exploitation, without privileging the Western worldview over all others. Using this approach the engagement activities link science with art and religion, maximising their potential accessibility.

In the summer of 2011, Cardiff Osteoarchaeology Research Group (CORG) participated in the Einstein’s Garden science learning area at the Green Man Festival, a medium-sized music festival held at Glanusk Park close to the town of Crickhowell in south-east Wales. CORG’s participation was part of Art and Humanities Research Council (AHRC)-funded Student Led Initiative called PEACE (Postgraduate Environmental Archaeology and Community Engagement), and was preceded by a day of training and activity development at Cardiff University (see below). Some of these activities were then trialled at the festival, along with the Future Animals workshop, which was developed by JM and artist Paul Evans with funding from Beacons for Wales. This looked at how humans have changed animals for particular purposes through selective breeding, inviting participants to design future useful animals and debate the ethics of selective breeding.

**Background**

On December 1st, 2010 a workshop on community engagement for postgraduates studying environmental archaeology, called PEACE, was held at Cardiff University. The workshop was established using funding awarded to ML from the AHRC as part of the Student-Led Initiatives in public engagement scheme, and offered students a chance to learn about heritage science communication and an
opportunity to unpick some of their own research and present it in a style suitable for non-specialists. At the event, speakers from the National Museum of Wales, Techniquest, Cardiff University, and Bristol Young Archaeologists Club spoke about how they have taken their research outside of the academic realm, outlining key learning outcomes from the experience, and offering practical advice on identifying and engaging with new audiences. In the afternoon, and with help from the speakers, participants worked in groups to design outreach activities based on aspects of their research and targeted at specific age groups. These were subsequently compiled as a Creative Commons-licenced online resource (Mulville & Law 2013).

PEACE built on JM’s experiences from a project called ‘Future Animals: friend or food?’ which was supported by funding from Beacons for Wales and which ran from 2009 to 2010. This gave young people an insight into artificial selection - a key aspect of Charles Darwin’s research into the origin of species by natural selection (a Charles Darwin exhibition was on display at National Museum of Wales at the same time as the project). Working with the artist Paul Evans and geneticist Professor Mike Bruford as well as the National Museum of Wales and Techniquest, workshops were held with local schools. At these, students learned about selective breeding before being invited to imagine (and draw) future improvements to animals. In addition to offering hands-on creative challenges and experience, the workshops provided a forum for ethical debate about our past, present and future relationship with animals, and the responsibilities that humans face as agents of biological change. Young people were also offered the chance to participate directly in the process of designing a museum exhibition, which was hosted at National Museum of Wales in Cardiff.

The Festival

The Green Man Festival took place in Glanusk Park near Crickhowell in Powys (south-east Wales) across 19th – 21st August 2011. It is an annual, independent festival which has run since 2003, with a capacity of 20 000, hosting music stages, a cinema, a literature tent, as well as a healing area and the Einstein’s Garden science
learning area. The festival abounds with references to prehistoric ritual – it is opened with a ceremony by druids, and climaxes with the burning of the Green Man, a giant antlered wooden effigy. Although festival attendees tend to age from mid teens to early forties, with an anecdotal modal age around late twenties to mid thirties, it is a very family-friendly festival, with a quiet family camping area and childrens’ activity area.

Einstein’s Garden is a science exploration and learning area which uses art, entertainment and participation to explore science and nature in ways that are unexpected and fun. It is set within the walled garden of the Glanusk Park estate, adjacent to the main festival stage, the Mountain Stage. It is curated by Ellen Dowell, and in 2011 featured science-themed music and comedy on a small solar-powered stage, as well as science busking and 14 science-themed stalls, each managed independently, generally by universities. These included the Egg and Sperm Race (about reproductive biology) and a knitted Periodic Table. Einstein’s Garden is open from 10am to 8pm on the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the festival.

The Festival takes place in a scenic and archaeologically-rich landscape. Einstein’s Garden itself is sited within the gardens of the former stately home at Glanusk Park, which was demolished following a fire in the 1950s. Elsewhere on the park, sadly inaccessible to festival visitors, stands the 4.5 m high Fish Stone, a Bronze Age standing stone dressed to look like a fish. To the north and east of Glanusk Park the view is dominated by hills carved by a cirque basin and the distinctive Crug Hywel hillfort. Within a few miles of the Park are the remains of Gwernvale Neolithic chambered tomb in front of the Manor Hotel on the A40 towards Crickhowell (Britnell 1984), as well as another, Garn Coch Cairn near Llangattock to the south-east of Glanusk park. There is a less visible hillfort called Penmyarth camp in the woods above the fish stone, and a Roman camp north of that at Pen y Gaer. There are also a number of round barrows and cairns in the local landscape, and remains of motte and bailey castles in Crickhowell and at Maes Celyn (Law & Lane 2011). This wealth of archaeological monuments visible in the landscape provided a context for broader discussion about life in the past.
The Activities

Einstein’s Garden places a great deal of emphasis on sustainable practices, and so we decided to mirror this in our activities, especially in light of CORG’s interests in relationships between humans and animals in the past. The case that archaeology can inform future sustainable practice is being increasingly developed (Cooper and Isandahl 2014; Guttman-Bond 2010), and we believe that public engagement events provide important opportunities to explore this. Back to the Future? was designed to give Green Man festival attendees an opportunity to learn about archaeology and the relationship between people, animals and their environment in the past.

The message of the Back to the Future? was that archaeology is both interesting and relevant to our lives; the way we lived in the past effects and informs how we live today and can stimulate thought about our potential futures. We presented a range of scripted archaeological themed science, art and nature based activities – which included ‘Future Animals’. Whereas all of our other activities ran as drop-in activities, Future Animals was only run at set times. This allowed a slightly more structured approach to be taken. JM began each session with a discussion of selective breeding, contrasting casts of the skulls of various dog breeds ranging from labradors and poodles to French bulldogs and Pekingese with those of their ancestor, a wolf, and a domestic cat (an animal whose skull is little changed by human selection). As the activity proceeded, discussion ensued about the ethics of this selective breeding, in particular how it might disadvantage the animal.

Another activity, ‘How old are your teeth?’, asked archaeologists to guess the age of visiting children using the eruption of their permanent teeth (e.g. Hillson 1996, Table 5.1), while ‘Boys vs. Girls’ demonstrated how archaeologists can tell whether a human skeleton is male or female (e.g. White & Folkens 2005, 385-98). For ‘Who am I Wearing?’, visitors and archaeologists were dressed in typical clothes of different periods, and the visitors were asked to guess which animals were used in the costumes (Best et al. 2013) (Fig 1). Pictures of Ötzi the ice man were also used to demonstrate how useful animal skins have been for clothing (Best 2013). Our emphasis through this activity was showing that past societies have tended to maximise their use of available resources, minimising waste.
Visitors also had the opportunity to practice excavating finds from a sandpit while stall staff explained how archaeologists work (Jones 2013). Over the four days our team of twelve, comprising postgraduate students and academic staff, archaeologists from the National Museum Wales and Cadw, and the artist Paul Evans, spoke to hundreds of people in depth each day.

People pegged key events, cultural (‘when was the first music festival?’) and technological (the first sword?), onto the ‘Washing Line of Time’ (Fig 2), and visitors discussed domestication, zooarchaeology and the ethics of animal breeding and numerous participants created ‘future animals’, which we then displayed (Fig 3). As part of our discussion of the use and reuse of animal materials over time, we led workshops recycling the festival waste Tetra Paks into attractive ‘future’ purses.
Figure 2. The ‘Washing line of time’.

Figure 3. ‘Future Animals’ drawn by participants.
The archaeologically rich landscape setting of the site was explored in resources to help festival attendees learn about the archaeology of the festival site and local area, both in the form of posters at our stall and an online page (Law & Lane 2011) as well as an augmented reality resource for smartphone users created within the application Layar (http://www.layar.com/layers/greenmanarchaeology/). Finally, we established a Twitter account to support our work at the festival.

**Challenges**

One of the first challenges we faced was finding activities which would appeal to a wide range of potential visitors, from children through teenagers to adults. The Future Animals activity worked well with family groups, as it was a managed workshop led by Paul Evans and JM which was scheduled to run in nine hour-long sessions over three days. Our sandpit-based What is an archaeologist? activity was withdrawn after the first day, however, as it appeared to have become an unofficial crèche facility (it is also worth noting that sandpits are very heavy things to carry to and from an event!). The high number of visitors, and our decision not to assign evaluation and responsibilities to a dedicated individual meant that it was not possible to collect detailed visitor feedback.

Technology proved to be another issue. The lack of mains electricity meant that keeping phones charged to engage via social media was difficult (although another tent at Einstein’s Garden offered the chance to charge phones using pedal power). More seriously, mobile phone signal was patchy at the festival site, with visitors reporting that they couldn’t access the Layar app. In practice, the Twitter account was little used during the festival.

**Moving on – Guerilla Archaeology**

Our experiences at Green Man inspired us to develop our festival engagement programme further, launching a larger collective called Guerilla Archaeology, which travelled to four music festivals in 2012 (Reynolds *et al.* in prep), as well as taking activities to the
Queen’s Arcade shopping centre in Cardiff as part of the Made in Roath festival. The name Guerilla Archaeology was chosen to be more provocative, and to encourage more visitors to come to the tent. It was also intended to give an improved sense of identity to the collective. The group’s festival work is now supported with enhanced social media in the form of linked posts to particular events, guest posts on thematic subjects, and weekly updates which do not directly relate to engagement events to keep audiences engaged digitally. Photographs from events are also posted to a flickr account and cards passed out at events to encourage digital engagement. A fresh theme is introduced on a yearly basis to encourage continued interest (for 2012 the theme of shamanism was explored under the heading *Shamanic Street Preachers*, and for 2013 archaeoastronomy under the heading *Lunatiks and Sun Worshippers*).

**Conclusions**

Back to the Future? provided a means for creative archaeological engagement with an audience who were not anticipating learning about the past, and some of whom may not have been reached by traditional modes of engagement. A drawback is that the activities took place during a ticketed event – however, the same mode of engagement can be applied to free music festivals and other public arenas such as Queen’s Arcade in Cardiff.

Merriman (2004, 85) described museums as “mass media of the long term”, while they don’t have the large simultaneous audience of television or radio, they do have large numbers of visitors over longer periods of time. Heritage engagement at events like music festivals could be described as mass media of the medium term. Over 2000 people visited the stall, and in the two successive years, the programme has been developed to travel to other festivals and events. By encouraging archaeologists to don costumes and engage in entertaining ways, the project provokes conversations not only about the past, but how the past can inform humanity’s role in the modern world.
References


HOW TO CONTRIBUTE

*AP: Online Journal in Public Archaeology* welcomes original contributions that match the aims of the journal and have not been previously published anywhere else, or are not on the way to be published elsewhere. Being online and free can be understood as a sign of quality degradation, but this is not a barrier. It is just a way to adapt from the very first moment to the new panorama in research, where the Internet is the main vehicle for transmission, and more specifically in our field, where the prices of journals are unaffordable for any researcher that is not linked to a research institution. We try to give the widest publicity to the journal, to make it Public.

Having made this clear, the papers can be sent in different ways:

- **Mail:**

  AP: Online Journal in Public Archaeology  
  JAS Arqueología S.L.  
  Plaza de Mondariz, 6  
  28029 – Madrid  
  (Spain)

- **Email:**

  jasarqueologia@gmail.com

- **Filemail.com:**

  Filemail.com (www.filemail.com) is a free tool to send large files that exceed the upload limit of a normal email account (Gmail, for example, permits up to 25 Mb). It is a useful way of sending, for example, large images. In this case, please contact us via email first in case we are not able to get them immediately (the link expires in three days). Of course, any other similar application can be also accepted, like Wetransfer or Dropbox.
STYLE

Length:

Full articles - We will only accept papers of less than 10,000 words (including notes and references) with a maximum of 10 figures (tables are counted as text).
Work reports – We will only accept papers of less than 5,000 words (including notes and references) with a maximum of 5 figures (tables are counted as text).
Reviews – We will only accept papers of less than 2,000 words (including notes and references) with 1 figure, that in case of book reviews will be the cover. In other events (conferences, film festivals…), the figure must clearly reflect the event.

Presentation:

To follow the indications of Public Archaeology (www.maney.co.uk/journals/pua), and aiming to standardize the procedures from our side, all material should follow the MHRA Style Guide, which can be freely downloaded from:


Figures:

The quality of figures should be good enough to be clear in a PDF file. There will not be any weird rule for the submission of the files. Just submit the figures in any readable format (able to be edited in Adobe Photoshop®). Every camera, software of scanner can make good quality images, so just submit originals. If any figure is subject to copyright it will be essential to attach a written permission from the holder of the rights. To avoid any inconvenience, we encourage the publication of self-owned images. In any case, the author will be responsible for any violation of copyright issues.

Notes and references:

It is preferable to avoid footnotes in the text, just quote or explain in brackets.
For references use Harvard style (Author 2010: 322) followed by a final bibliography. For example: ‘according to Author (2010: 123) Public Archaeology can be...’ or ‘it has been pointed out (Author 2010: 13) that...’ etc.

Multiple citations should be in alphabetical order and separated by a semi-colon, (Author et al., 1990; Creator and Author 2003; Producer 1982).

Where an author has several publications from the same year, distinguish them with ‘lower-case’ letters (Author 2010a, 2010b). Do not use ibid.

In the final bibliography follow the system below:

**Thesis**


**Journal article**


**Book**


**Edited book**


**Section in book**

Internet reference


(As it is an online publication, all the quotes referring to an Internet address should be active links).

In the case of any other kind of reference not mentioned here, please contact the editor.

Once the article has been received:

The process for the acceptance of papers will be easy and fast. Once the article has reached the editor, the decision will be taken in less than 48 hours. Papers rejected from the editor will not be considered again unless they undertake major changes. Correspondence will not be continued for those papers. If the paper is pre-accepted by the editor, it will be peer-reviewed by two different experts in the common blind process. After that, the author will be given feedback and advice in order to go over the article, which will be corrected again to check if it meets the requirements of the reviewers. Once this process has finished, the article will be edited as it will appear on the journal and returned to the author for a final check (only spelling mistakes or other details, not changes on the text). The commitment of the journal is to be able to complete the whole process in less than two months.

Work reports and reviews will not need to pass the peer-review process, but will be commented by the editor.

We will be publishing one volume per year (first trimester) and although we are willing to receive papers the whole year, full articles for next-year’s volume should be sent before October in order to complete the process with time.

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the editor at: jasarqueologia@gmail.com
LIST OF DONORS

We want to thank all the people that is helping to maintain this journal. Especially those that chose to use one of the procedures in the donations page. Every little help is welcome to continue with this project, and we expect to increase this list year by year with your help.

Thank you very much:

Vicky Papagiannopoulou
Giannis Papagiannopoulos
Alipio Sánchez
Mª Ángeles Sánchez
José Mª Almansa
Mayca Rojo
Gaëlle Cerruti
Carlos Tejerizo
Jacob Hilton
Patrice L. Jeppson
Gemma Cardona
Ioannis Poullos